

## Delaware Supreme Court Finds Fraud Insurable

By [Virginia D. Gorostiaga](#) and [Marc Skapof](#)

In a recent decision, the Delaware Supreme Court ruled that insuring against fraud does not per se violate Delaware public policy and held that the insured's D&O policy covered claims alleging securities fraud. *RSUI Indemnity Company v. Murdock*, No. 154, 2020, 2021 WL 803867 (Del. Mar. 3, 2021). In so doing, the Court diverged from other states with general public policy exceptions to fraud and reinforced the proposition that contracts should be enforced as written. In other words, if an insurer intends its policy to exclude certain types of claims, the policy should expressly say so as opposed to after-the-fact appeals to "public policy" as grounds for denying coverage.

### Brief Case Synopsis

The *Murdock* case involved a directors and officers ("D&O") excess policy insuring Dole Food Company, Inc. ("Dole"), which was triggered, along with another eight layers of D&O insurance, when CEO and Director David Murdock took Dole private through a merger transaction. That merger resulted in several lawsuits asserting claims for securities fraud against him and COO and General Counsel C. Michael Carter. The allegations contained claims that Murdock and Carter "breached their duty of loyalty through a series of intentional, unfair, and fraudulent actions that, among other things, drove down Dole's pre-merger stock price."

The Court of Chancery found that both Murdock and Dole had engaged in fraud and found them liable for \$148,190,590.18 in damages, which settled for the full amount with the stockholders, while a new federal securities class action was initiated, citing fraud and breach of loyalty, and settled for \$74,000,000. After all the D&O policies had paid their limits, RSUI Indemnity Company ("RSUI") pushed back, claiming that it would not insure Dole for the fraud allegations because doing so violated Delaware public policy. RSUI also cited a fraud exclusion in the policy. The Court found that coverage for intentional wrongdoing or fraud did not violate public policy under Delaware law and that the D&O policy's fraud exclusion did not apply to the federal securities fraud class action. The Supreme Court noted that it rejected the insurer's "invitation to void its contractual obligations."

In holding that Delaware did not have a public policy against the insurability of losses occasioned by fraud strong enough to vitiate the parties' freedom of contract, the Supreme Court noted that, to the contrary, "when the Delaware General Assembly enacted Section 145 authorizing corporations to afford their directors and officers broad indemnification and advancement rights and to purchase D&O insurance 'against any liability' asserted against their directors and officers 'whether or not the corporation would have the power to indemnify such person against such liability under this section,' it expressed the opposite of the policy RSUI [was] ask[ing] [the Court] to adopt."

### Future Implications

This has several implications in how Delaware courts will rule on an insurer's resistance in providing coverage where there otherwise is coverage—that is, once the parties enter into an insurance contract for, among other things, protecting the insured against fraud allegations and coverage is triggered with no exclusions to preclude the same, an insurer must simply pay. It is important that business owners and insurers alike review application policies to better inform coverage positions and decisions moving

forward. Based on the Supreme Court's decision, it appears as though Delaware courts are ready to protect the parties' contracting interests and are willing to enforce those contracts resolving ambiguities against the insurer. At the same time, insurance companies will also have to take stock of the many policies they have similar to the one in *Murdock*, as arguments against coverage for fraud very well may be turned down as they were in that case.

#### **RCCB's Litigation Practice Proactively Handles Insurance Coverage Matters**

During this challenging time, our litigation team has been busy helping our clients, who are both businesses and insurers, in connection with interpreting and applying policy documents. We work on a broad range of matters, from reviewing and commenting on discrete policy provisions through comprehensive coverage opinions on behalf of our clients. We help clients to determine whether current policies cover shifts in business prompted by COVID-19 (such as a switch from non-essential to essential goods and services) and to evaluate and quantify the future risk of third-party liability claims. If you are a business owner seeking a review of your insurance policies or a risk assessment, [please contact us](#).

#### **About RCCB's Litigation Practice**

RCCB litigators are sophisticated, practical and thoughtful, using litigation as a means to achieve a client's business objective. We carefully tailor approach and strategy to translate litigation tactics into successful outcomes for their clients. Our litigators have been ranked and recognized by Chambers USA, the Legal Intelligencer, Super Lawyers, Best Lawyers in America, Martindale Hubbell and World Trademark Review, among others. We are the go-to choice for many businesses and individuals in the region when they find themselves defending or initiating litigation. Our litigators have been extensively trained as general commercial, as well as specialized, litigators at premier litigation firms, and they routinely go head-to-head opposite the largest firms in America. We guide our clients through the full life cycle of prosecuting and defending commercial disputes in state and federal courts and private arbitration in matters such as breach of contract, partnership disputes, breach of shareholder and fiduciary duties, unfair trade practices and intellectual property/unfair competition, and matters of real estate and technology disputes. RCCB clients include well-known public companies, established private entities, and start-ups and investors in business and financial services, healthcare and life sciences, manufacturing, distribution, technology, software, consumer goods, telecommunication, food and beverage and transportation.

#### **RCCB – Empowering Ambition<sup>SM</sup>**

We are attorneys who think and act like entrepreneurs and business people. We combine sophisticated, cost-effective legal counseling with the type of sound practical judgment that comes from hands-on business experience. We encourage entrepreneurial approaches and creative thinking, while maintaining the utmost in integrity and responsiveness. RCCB understands and delivers the advice that companies, business executives and investors, as well as individuals and their families, need to realize their hopes and goals. From offices in the Greater Philadelphia area and New York, RCCB serves clients throughout the Mid-Atlantic region and beyond. Additional information about Royer Cooper Cohen Braunfeld is available at [www.rccbllaw.com](http://www.rccbllaw.com).



#### **Disclaimer**

The content of this article is for educational and general informational purposes only and should not be relied upon for legal advice. Information is frequently changing. While we will endeavor to keep this article updated, we assume no legal duty to do so and cannot guarantee its completeness or accuracy at any given time. Before relying on this information for any specific situation, we recommend that you contact your RCCB attorney. Interacting with this article and the RCCB website and their content does not create an attorney-client relationship, and none will be created unless and until an engagement letter is signed. Any questions submitted to our website will not be confidential, and we request that you not send us confidential information unless you establish or already have an attorney-client relationship with us. This article may be considered advertising under certain jurisdictions. Prior results do not guarantee a similar outcome.

Royer Cooper Cohen Braunfeld LLC, RCCB, the RCCB logo and Empowering Ambition<sup>SM</sup> are trademarks or service marks of Royer Cooper Cohen Braunfeld LLC. Other marks herein are the properties of their respective owners.

© 2021, Royer Cooper Cohen Braunfeld LLC. All rights reserved.